

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/603,842	06/25/2003	Pal Molnar	P03,0156	5519
26574 75	590 10/01/2004		EXAM	INER
SCHIFF HARDIN, LLP			WOOD, KIMBERLY T	
PATENT DEPARTMENT				
6600 SEARS TOWER			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL 60606-6473			3632	

DATE MAILED: 10/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u></u>				
4	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/603,842	MOLNAR ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Kimberly T. Wood	3632		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Ju				
· <u> </u>	action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accention and accention are also accentions. Applicant may not request that any objection to the continuous statement of the continuous accention.				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	** ,	, ,		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage		
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/27/03.	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate atent Application (PTO-152)		
Detect and Trademody Office				

Application/Control Number: 10/603,842

Art Unit: 3632

This is an office action for serial number 10/603,842,e entitled Elastomer Hanger For An Elastomer Suspension, filed on June 25, 2003.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "a first ring portion" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. A first ring portion has been claimed in the first line therefore this recitation should be deleted or should have -the- instead of "a" before "first ring portion".

The claims have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112 for the above reasons. Please note that the Examiner may not have pointed out each and every example of indefiniteness. The applicant is required to review all the claim language to make sure the claimed invention is clear and definite.

Art Unit: 3632

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 8-11, 14, rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Deane 4,817,909. Deane discloses a hanger comprising a first ring portion (12) having a central aperture (22), a mass dampener structure (14) having a dampener mass being a metal ring being steel (19; column 2, lines 65ff) with a central passage (where 36 is located), a first means ((16), an elastomeric spring being a sleeve (31) and having a second means for connecting (the hole in the center (32) and having an axial opening (36A) and an axial center opening (see figure 1). The dampener structure (14) includes a covering of elastomer material (column 2, lines 65ff).

Application/Control Number: 10/603,842

Art Unit: 3632

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-7, 12-13, and 15-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Deane 4,817,909 in view of Ozaki et al. (Ozaki) JP7208158. Deane discloses all of the limitations of the claimed invention except for the pair of arcuate arms connecting the first ring portion to the mass dampener structure, the pin having a head and an integral washer, and the material being Peroxide molded ethylene-propylen-diene-monomer. Okaki teaches that it is known to have a first ring portion (near upper 9), a mass dampener structure (near bottom 9), and a pair of arcuate arms connecting the ring portion and dampener structure (near 3B), a pin (33B) having a head (figure 6). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have modified Deane to have made the first means for connecting a pair of arcuate arms and the second means including a pin with a head as taught by Ozaki for

Art Unit: 3632

the purpose of creating air spaces between the ring portion and dampener to allow heat to pass around the ring portion and dampener for cooling effect purposes and for the purpose of facilitating the member to the hanger and preventing the member from being removed accidentally. It would have been obvious to have modified Deane in view of Ozaki to have included an integral washer to the pin since such a modification is conventional in the art to prevent removal of the pin from the hanger (see patents Karner 5,673,877 element 64 and Drabing et al. 4,893,778). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to the material peroxide molded ethylene-poropylen-diene-monomer, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The prior discloses conventional exhaust suspension hangers.

Art Unit: 3632

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kimberly T. Wood whose telephone number is 703-308-0539. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leslie Braun can be reached on 703-308-2156. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3632

September 27, 2004